

Education, Scholarships, Apprenticeships and Youth
Entrepreneurship
Programme in Romania
Financed by the EEA Financial Mechanism 2014-2021

Preparatory Visits
Quality assessment form

APPLICANT INSTITUTION:**ERASMUS ID code** (if applicable):**APPLICATION NUMBER:****TITLE OF PROJECT:****EXPERT'S NAME:**

Note: Each criteria will be assessed according with the maximum score presented in the sections 1-3. If an application receive after the quality assessment (section 1-2) less than 36 points it will be declared rejected. Each application will be assessed by one P.O. expert. The priority points for applications that address to priorities set in 2017 Calls for Proposals will be allocated only in cases when the project obtains at least 36 points for quality assessment.

I. QUALITY ASSESSMENT

1) Content and duration	Score
1.a There is a coherent description of activities of the visit	/10 points
1.b The programme is clear and reasonable; its duration is realistic and appropriate	/10 points
1.c The role of each participant (visiting or hosting) is well described	/10 points
Overall score for section 1	/30 points
Comments:	

2) Relevance	Score
2.a The contribution of planned activities (during the visit) to initiating a new project-under the framework of ESAYEP-EEA grants- is well described NB: a project which does not intend to initiate a project under the framework of ESAYEP –EEA grants will not be financed	/10 points

2.b There is a clear and documented link between the current activities of the applicant organisation and the goal and content of the preparatory visit.	/10 points
2.c The participant has the appropriate competences and the experience in order to establish a cooperation that will lead to a new project	/10 points
Overall score for section 2	/30 points
Comments:	

3) Specific priorities	Score
Applications for visits aiming at initiating projects that address any of the priorities mentioned in 2017 Call for Proposals will be encouraged through additional priority points (20% of the maximum possible score)	/12 points
Comments:	

II. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Final score (overall score for sections 1-3) (max. 60 points + 12 points for section 3 if applicable)points
---	-------------

III. OVERALL COMMENTS

The comments should relate to your assessment of the strengths, weaknesses of the application, related to the award criteria. The comments should justify the assessment conclusion.

Please formulate them very carefully as your comments will be sent to the applicant if rejected.

Comments on the proposal:

IV. SIGNATURE OF THE EXPERT

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that I have no conflict of interest (including family, emotional life, political affinity, economic interest or any other shared interest) with the organisation(s) or any of the persons having submitted this grant application.

Furthermore, I confirm that I will not communicate to any third party any information that may be disclosed to me in the context of my work as an evaluator.

Signature of the independent expert:

Name:

Date / / (dd/mm/yyyy)